

DD/P 8-0429

2 FEB 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel

SUBJECT : Adjudication of Conflicts Between Employees and Supervisors

REFERENCE : Memorandum for DDP from D/PERS dated 22 January 1968; same subject.

1. As you are aware, the DCI is concerned about the effectiveness of our present Fitness Report system and has requested recommendations for improving it. We will shortly be forwarding some ideas on this subject but until a new Fitness Report policy is developed and approved we will continue to operate under the present system.

2. I agree with the need expressed in the referent memorandum for more thoroughgoing attention to cases of (a) adversely critical Fitness Reports and (b) the directed return of an individual from overseas assignment for reasons of unsatisfactory performance or behavior. I believe, however, that a careful application of existing procedures should be adequate to handle most cases, without applying additional machinery or adjudication authorities.

3. In the case of adversely critical Fitness Reports, it should be the responsibility of the reviewing official to substantiate any allegations and establish the pertinent facts, including resolution of any conflicting statements from the employee and rater. Proper attention by the reviewing official to this aspect of his responsibility should resolve the large majority of cases. To further strengthen the present procedures, I propose to:

a. Instruct reviewing officials in the CS to give particular attention to (adversely) critical Fitness Reports and to resolve and record his finding on any conflicting statements of the employee and rating official.

DD/P 8-0429
Approved for Release under the
Freedom of Information Act
by [Signature]

SECRET

- 2 -

b. Direct Chief, CSPS to monitor current Fitness Reports to insure that pertinent facts are resolved and constitute a proper basis for conclusions and courses of action drawn therefrom.

c. Permit the employee, in cases where the pertinent facts are not resolved, to request review and adjudication of the case at the Directorate level. Such review and adjudication will be arranged in all cases where the unsubstantiated allegations in the Fitness Report would be damaging to the long term career prospects of the employee.

4. The current procedures for return from overseas assignments for unsatisfactory performance or behavior already require adjudication and involve a number of Agency elements. Likewise, if such an employee is proposed for termination or removal from the CS, additional review and adjudication procedures already existing include a post mortem by the Overseas Review Panel. I propose to strengthen the current procedures by requiring Chief, CSPS to insure that unresolved issues in such return cases are determined and that the concerned employee has full opportunity to insure adequate review and consideration of his case. Such an employee, informed of the findings of fact in his case, may then elect to have his case reviewed and adjudicated at the Directorate level, if permanent injury to his career prospects is involved.

5. In summary, I agree with the proposed modification of Agency regulations to require an official adjudication, when requested by the employee, in cases described in paragraph 2 and 3 above. I propose to assign such adjudication authority to a sub-committee of the CS Personnel Management Committee.

FOIAb3b

[REDACTED]
Thomas H. Karanessines
Deputy Director for Plans

Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600140015-9

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	ExO	19 APR 1968	
2	BobK	22 APR 1968	Bob
3	ExO	22 APR 1968	
4	C/PD/P+C (rec)	23 APR 1968	
5	512 Magazine Bldg.		
6	C/Plans Div		Shw
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	
Remarks:			
<p>25X1A9a [redacted] called the other day about this What do you suggest we tell him?? It looks like it got lost for awhile.</p>			
<p>RobK 19</p>			
<p><i>Tell him we are relating to another project or discuss report</i></p>			
<p>[redacted] secretary advised on 22 April 68 re above!</p>			
25X1A			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	

FORM NO. 227 Use previous editions

(40)

Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600140015-9

Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600140015-9

Jim : I gather you are
picking up the pieces
on this.
JR

Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600140015-9

SENDER WILL CHECK CLAS.		ICATION TOP AND BOTTOM	
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
SECRET			
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO			DATE
1			
2	EKO		
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT	FILE		RETURN
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION		SIGNATURE
Remarks: <i>Mr K fitness Report memo which I had in connection with a paper on Fitness Report which I am writing to D/PCo. Am to forward with copy to you to forward with copy to</i>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
		NAME NO.	DATE
		19 APR	
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
SECRET			
FORM NO. 1-67 237		Use previous editions (40)	

25X1A9a

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:	EXTENSION	NO.	DATE	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
				RECEIVED	FORWARDED
C/CSPS G G 10, Hq.					
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE				
1. Chief, OPSER	2 FEB 1968	PPY			
2.					
3. DDP	3 Feb	LP	PP		
4.					
5. D/PERS 5 E 56, Hq	6 FEB 1968	PP	PP		<p>Mr. Waddell: You may want to talk to me about how he had planned to proceed on this. Also we will owe [REDACTED] a final answer on reemployment consideration.</p>
6.					
7. C/PBS	20 FEB 1968		25X1A9a		<p>⑦ Per 4 o'clock today — 19 Feb PW</p>
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					